IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1041 /2005

PETITIONER : Smt. Swapna Lahiri,
Aged about 64 years,
W/o Shri A. K.Lahiri,
Occupation- Retired Government
Servant, R/o Godripara,
Chirmiri, District- Korea (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1033/05
Presented by Shri Vikram Singh
dated 11/03/05

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary, Higher
Education Department, Mantralaya,
D. K. S. Bhawan, Shastri Chowk,
Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner,
Higher Education,
Campus of Govt. Science College,
Raipur (C.G.).
3. Additional Director,
Higher Education,
Directorate, Raipur (C.G.).
4. Accountant General,
State of Chhattisgarh,
Raipur (C.G.).
5. Senior Accounts Officer,
Accountant General Office,
State of Chhattisgarh,
Raipur (C.G.).
6. Principal,
Govt. Lahiri College,
Chirmiri, District- Korea (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
11.3.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

आयुक्त
764/05
913/05
तहसील

Free

7. Shri T. K. Bhattacharya,
Principal, Govt. Lahiri College,
Chirmiri, District- Korea (C.G.).

WRIT PETITON UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

(48)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.No..1041/05 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30/03/2005

Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri J.D.Bajpai, G.A. for the respondents.

Heard.

The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner on the ground that he retired on 30/06/2003 as Principal of Govt. Lahari College, Chirmiri, Distt. Korea and though the amount towards the GPF, family benefit and 90% of the gratuity was already sanctioned by the respondent No. 2 to 4 but despite this sanction, the respondent No.6 who is the drawing and disbursing authority is not paying the same deliberately without any authority of law. To demonstrate this contention, the petitioner has annexed with this petition various orders passed by the State Government particularly by the Commissioner, Higher Education whereby the respondent No.6 was directed to disburse the amount mentioned above to the petitioner but in spite of the aforesaid direction, the same has not been paid to him for the last two years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the ends of the justice would be served if the respondents are directed to disburse the retiral dues payable to the petitioner within a time frame to which counsel for the respondents has no objection.

In view of the submissions advanced by the counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pay all the retiral dues for which the petitioner is entitled along with the interest thereon as per rules, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)